



BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING MINUTES

LOS ANGELES REGIONAL
INTEROPERABLE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM AUTHORITY

May 7, 2015
Grace E. Simons Lodge
1025 Elysian Park Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Board Members Present:

Sachi Hamai, Chair, CEO, County of Los Angeles

Cathy Chidester, Director, EMS Agency, County of LA, DHS

Bill Walker, Fire Chief, City of Alhambra, representing Los Angeles Area Fire Chiefs Assoc.

Larry Giannone, Chief of Police, City of Sierra Madre, representing the Los Angeles County Police Chiefs Assoc.

Representatives For Board Members Present:

Patty Huber, Asst., CAO, representing Miguel Santana, CAO, City of Los Angeles

Graham Everett, Asst., Chief, representing Ralph M. Terrazas, Fire Chief, City of Los Angeles Fire Dept.

Horace Frank, Commander, representing Charles L. Beck, Vice Chair, Chief of Police, Los Angeles Police Dept.

Matias Farfan, Asst., Chief Legislative Analyst, representing Sharon Tso, Chief Legislative Analyst, City of Los Angeles

Chris Bundesen, Asst., Fire Chief, representing Daryl L. Osby, Fire Chief, Los Angeles County Fire Dept.

Dean Gialamas, Alternate Director, representing Jim McDonnell, Sheriff, Los Angeles County Sheriff's Dept.

Jose Santome, Deputy Chief, representing Steven K. Zipperman, Chief of Police, Los Angeles School Police Dept.

David Povero, Captain, representing Kim Raney, Chief of Police, City of Covina

Greg Grammer, Asst., City Manager, representing Doug Prichard, City Manager, City of Rolling Hills Estates

Officers Present:

Patrick Mallon, LA-RICS Executive Director

Absent:

Miguel Santana, CAO, City of Los Angeles

Ralph Terrazas, Fire Chief, City of Los Angeles Fire Dept.

Charles L. Beck, Vice Chair, Chief of Police, Los Angeles Police Dept.

Sharon Tso, Chief Legislative Analyst, City of Los Angeles

Daryl L. Osby, Fire Chief, Los Angeles County Fire Dept.

Jim McDonnell, Sheriff, Los Angeles County Sheriff's Dept.

Steven K. Zipperman, Chief of Police, Los Angeles School Police Dept.

Mark R. Alexander, City Manager, CA Contract Cities Assoc.

Kim Raney, Chief of Police, City of Covina

Douglas Prichard, City Manager, City of Rolling Hills Estates



I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ANNOUNCE QUORUM – Roll Call

Chair Sachi Hamai made an acknowledgement that a quorum was present.

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES (A)

A. April 2, 2015 – Regular Meeting Minutes

Chair Hamai asked for a motion to approve, Alternate Member Patty Huber motioned first, seconded by Alternate Member Dean Gialamas. The Board's consensus was unanimous.

Ayes 13: Hamai, Chidester, Walker, Giannone, Huber, Everett, Frank, Farfan, Bundesen, Gialamas, Santome, Povero, and Grammer.

MOTION APPROVED.

IV. CONSENT CALENDAR – (None)

V. REPORTS (B-E)

B. Finance Committee Report – No Report

C. Director's Report – Pat Mallon

Executive Director Pat Mallon provided an update on the Funding Plan and stated during the month of March, we received a notice of "Opt-Out" from the City of Santa Clarita. A recap of the impact on the Funding Plan resulting from the previous withdrawals is reflected in Agenda Item F. The total impact to the Funding Plan to date stands at 9.47%. Although not in time to be included with the distribution of your agenda, we received notices of "Opt-Out" on Monday from the Cities of San Marino and Hermosa Beach. That will add an additional impact of .22% to the Funding Plan, bringing the total impact to 9.69%. Additionally, pursuant to your direction at the March meeting, a draft of an alternate funding plan has been included in today's agenda for discussion.

Long Term Evolution (LTE) Project Update

As previously reported, on March 24th, the Board of Supervisors took action relative to withdrawing approval to build LTE installations at County Fire Station Sites. On April 1st, the Los Angeles City Council took similar action relative to all City Fire and Police Stations. On April 3rd, National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) notified us of a suspension of the Broadband Technology



Opportunities Program (BTOP) grant and set a date of April 13th by which we were required to submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP). In concert with representatives of FirstNet, NTIA committed to work with us to determine if any semblance of a usable system remained. Between April 3rd and the 13th, we worked closely with NTIA and FirstNet to develop a scaled back plan for providing at least a “starter” Public Safety Broadband Network (PSBN) system at County Sheriff Stations and at sites offered by independent cities. We also received contact from the State of California, California Office of Emergency Services (CalOES) offering their assistance in identifying state-owned sites that might provide some coverage in the County area.

On Monday, April 13th, we submitted a CAP, which included 46 Long Term Evolution (LTE) sites plus 15 sites where Cell on Wheels (COWs) could be deployed. On Tuesday, April 14th, we presented the CAP to the Board of Supervisors and gained their support to move forward with County Sheriff’s sites and other non-County fire station sites. On April 17th, the Los Angeles City Council reconsidered their April 1st action and allowed the inclusion of City Police Stations and stated their preference that any LTE equipment be collocated on existing towers, if possible. With this action, we amended our CAP response to include 19 Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) stations and 2 Los Angeles City proprietary sites to the CAP. This will allow a total system build-out of 82 sites. One of the NTIA CAP requirements is that the City and County assure their support before moving forward with any reduced system installation. We appreciate the action of the Board of Supervisors and the Los Angeles City Council for their support of public safety communications.

On Friday, May 1st, we received notice from NTIA that they have approved our CAP with amendments, and have lifted the suspension. Effective Monday, May 4th, we directed Motorola Solutions Inc., (Motorola) to move forward with a scaled down deployment of the PSBN system pending review by your Board. We have included Agenda Item I for your consideration of the CAP and a request for your approval to move forward with the revised deployment. Included in that Item is coverage information requested by your Board at the last meeting not only for the LTE system, but some coverage impacts realized through the loss of County Fire Station 56.

Executive Director Mallon stated previously that Board Member Mark Alexander asked for an analysis of the impact of loss of County Fire Station 56 on the LTE and Land Mobile Radios (LMR) systems. We noticed the two maps provided in the Agenda packet did not include the loss of coverage, which we will distribute this morning.

As to the LTE contract status with the approval of the CAP, the NTIA also recommended action relative to the BTOP grant. The BTOP grant amount was reduced by over \$37 Million. The new federal grant amount is \$117,142,137. The



NTIA also approved a reduction in the match required for our project. You may recall that the initial match required was almost 30% in combined hard and soft match. The newly approved match has been reduced to 15.05%. This reduction will have a direct impact on the annual operations cost which, as you might recall, includes reimbursement of the hard match advanced for the project by the County of Los Angeles. There are contract amendments for your consideration today as Agenda Item J that addresses the reduction in contract values for both Jacobs and Telecate based on the reduced scope of the LTE project.

LMR Project Update

Executive Director Mallon stated that there has been no change to the status of the LMR contract since your last meeting.

Through Amendment No. 10, the total contract value for the LMR project is \$292,846,813, including 15 years of maintenance. There has been no change to the contract term.

The Jacobs Team is continuing to assemble information for the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the LMR project under California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. As previously reported, it is anticipated that the EIR draft documentation will be ready for distribution in early summer. We are continuing to work with the Los Angeles City Mayor's office and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) staff and are moving forward with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process.

As in previous meetings, Motorola has advised that for the Hybrid System they will need the use of 88 - 700 MHz channels. We have a net of 65 channels available from the County. As you may recall, we found that 5 County channels created interference issues and cannot be used. 5 suitable replacement channels have been identified although they are licensed to other Southern California public safety agencies. Thus far, we have received concurrence on the use of 4 of these channels. Contour maps have been developed and shared with the last licensees to determine if we can cohabitate on their channel without causing interference to their operation. Their decision has not been forthcoming.

One potential alternative is to employ a strategy called Dynamic Frequency Blocking which will allow reuse of channels, particularly from the more remote areas of the LA-RICS deployment. There has been some progress in assignment of the 24 Reserve channels which we have requested from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). This includes the 6 channels that will be required for area-wide interoperability. The remaining 18 can be assigned to LA-RICS at the conclusion of a regional plan. We understand that other entities including the Interagency Communications Interoperability System (ICIS) and the County of Riverside have



requested assignment of some of these channels. A decision by the Regional Planning Committee is hopefully coming in June.

As previously reported, the City of Los Angeles team has been working with Motorola to initiate a coverage and capacity analysis for the City areas. This analysis includes a consideration of a LMR system overlay to provide coverage for the City in two cells, in essence, on top of the original LA-RICS coverage. Another option is to enhance capacity in the four previously proposed cells aligning with the four LAPD operational Bureaus. The results of this analysis were presented to the City of Los Angeles team last week, and we hope for a direction in the next couple of weeks.

Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) Report from Judge Robert Bonner

As an undertaking of the UASI Approval Authority, Judge Robert Bonner was retained to examine potential paths forward to insure interoperability for public safety throughout the County, particularly between LA-RICS and the ICIS systems. Judge Bonner provided his report to the UASI Approval Authority on March 20th. His analysis suggested the best path forward to insure interoperability would be through a "system of systems." Judge Bonner will be heading up a task force to determine the best means of achieving this goal under a contract with the UASI Approval Authority. We look forward to working with Judge Bonner in this effort. It is anticipated that Judge Bonner will be presenting a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) for your consideration at one of your meetings in the near future. This MOA will reportedly outline a working relationship between LA-RICS and the ICIS system.

Washington DC Delegation Meetings

Executive Director Mallon along with a delegation from the County of Los Angeles met with NTIA and FirstNet regarding the LTE Project on April 21st. Meetings were also held with the Minority Staff for the House Energy and Commerce Committee, Senator Boxer on April 21st and on April 22nd with Senator Feinstein, Congresswoman Judy Chu, 22nd District; Congressman Kevin McCarthy, 23rd District; Congressman Adam Schiff, 28th District; Congressman Tony Cardenas, 29th District; Congressman Ted Lieu, 33rd District; and Congresswoman Janice Hahn, 44th District. Executive Director Mallon stated that the purpose of the meetings was to gain their support for the lifting of the suspension of the BTOP grant as well as for congressional action as we move into the next budget year to extend the money that would remain in the BTOP grant, approximately \$37M which would be lost under the current action. We would ask that they restore the \$37M and provide us some time to look for some deployable options.



Oversight Committee

The Oversight Committee has been reformulated with the following representatives: Matias Farfan, representing the City of Los Angeles, Chief Legislative Analyst Office; Gerry Hertzberg, representing the County of Los Angeles, Chief Executive Office; and Board Member Mark Alexander, representing the Contract Cities Association.

On April 8th, Oversight Committee members Hertzberg and Alexander met via conference call to discuss two Amendments. Amendment No. 14 to the Jacobs contract was approved reallocating some labor hours from Phase 3 LMR activities into Phase 1 to support activities needed prior to FEMA approval of construction. There was no change to the Jacobs contract value. The Amendment also documented a change in key consultant personnel. Amendment No. 11 to the Motorola LMR contract was also approved to Authorize Project Description Work on two potential replacement sites and to apply an existing credit from one dropped site to its replacement site. Project management costs for one site previously approved but omitted in Amendment No. 10 was also added and the approval of an exchange in the number of hand held inventory scanners in favor of additional portable inventory tablets. The net result in all of these changes was a net reduction in the overall contract value.

D. Project Manager's Report – Pat Mallon

The Jacobs Project Management Report and Motorola Monthly Report are included in your package as Agenda Item D.

Alternate Member Jose Santome suggested providing additional details, such as sub-categorizing under the Director's Report. For example, governments, budget, technical, etc. Alternate Member Santome is concerned about the transparency for Board of Directors and the public. His suggestion consists of public comments first, so the public does not have to wait to the end of the meeting to discuss items on previous meetings. Chair Hamai stated to confirm sub-categorize further, under the existing bullets of the Director's Report. Alternate Member Santome also stated to consider public comments first, which will be for non-agenized items and to continue with public comments at the end of the agenda for comments that are on the agenda.

E. Grant Status Report – Pat Mallon

Executive Director Mallon reported that there has been no change to the grant status since your last meeting. The UASI 2015 grant allocation process is under way. The UASI Approval Authority approved the allocations to each of the Investment Justification Areas. Allocations within IJ1 will be considered in the near future pending the efforts of Judge Bonner.



Alternate Member Horace Frank wanted confirmation that 2014 or 2015 still have not been released? Executive Director Mallon stated yes that is correct. They are waiting for Mr. Bonner to complete his process for both grants.

VI. DISCUSSION ITEMS (F)

F. Alternate Risk Based Funding Plan

Executive Director Mallon stated at the March meeting the Ad Hoc Sub-Committee asked that we consider a new funding plan. The first meeting proposed a risk base plan to underwrite the cost of maintenance of the system. This is presented for your discussion today, we are not asking for voting; we are asking for input. We will then provide the input to the Ad Hoc Sub-Committee for final review and recommendation for the Board. This is a Risk Base Plan for maintenance and operation only, and does not include risk for infrastructure development. Executive Director Mallon stated an example Huntington Park wants 100 radios on their system. Their cost would be 100 multiplied by \$240 a radio for the year. That fund for those subscribers would go into the system refresh fund, up until we get to a preset threshold. The recommendation for the first year is \$3M. Anything over the \$3M threshold will go back to the City and County based on their proportional share of contribution. Alternate Member Santome asked if staff can develop a buy in cost for Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) as a main partner rather than a subscriber, and to provide a cost analysis and presentation. Alternate Member Santome requested a comparison scenario showing use of 1,000 radios.

Alternate Member Patty Huber requested to have a more detailed conversation on some of the calculations and to discuss off-line what governance changes need to be made. We need to understand where the assumptions came from such as governance based on if we are taking on the risk; we will be responsible for the operations and maintenance; it does raise a question of the continued decision-making power of Board members who are only subscribers, as to creating risk for the City and County. Executive Director Mallon stated this is a significant consideration. If that would be the case then the County would have two-thirds and the City would have one-third decision making power. Independent cities that are putting devices on the system are paying a share to the system refresh refund. Alternate Member Huber stated funding and construction of LMR is separate, but we need to consider the cost of sharing for LMR as well. Executive Director stated as far as the risk, subscription is for both systems. The Board will need to decide on a policy for those subscribers that are a member of the Authority and or for those who are not a member; there can be a difference subscriber rate. This is a policy that the Board can make. Alternate Member Huber stated we may be considering in June whether to adopt a revised funding plan. How will that change the timeline for members after a revised funding plan is adopted? Counsel Truc Moore stated when we adopt a new funding plan, there is a minimum waiting period of 45-days or the



Board can choose to extend the “Opt-out” period for a longer period than that. Alternative Member Matias Farfan asked if we place the revised funding plan on the next board agenda and it’s approved, how much time would the City and County have to approve it through their legislative bodies. Executive Director stated the “Opt-Out” period can remain through November 23, 2015, or they can consider a revised date.

Alternate Member Chris Bundesen asked that when there are discussions with FirstNet, can we ask for a forecast of what is in the near future on a larger scale. The question from the Fire Department is based on signing-up as a subscriber now and not knowing what is to come later in terms of funding or cost. Chair Hamai states when we met with FirstNet in Washington they were very eager to help out Southern California and they are on a different timeline than we are on. The Request for Proposal (RFP) process may take two to five years once the RFP process is completed. Alternate Member Bundesen stated that there is concern of the functionality and depth of the system in order for it to be effective. There is a critical need to use it today on the LTE side. Public safety versus utilizing the current providers has not been a top priority on the funding side. LMR is a driving factor for the independent cities. Chair Hamai states we will need assistance once we complete the project system with LA-RICS with 82 sites. We need to try to get FirstNet to expedite; perhaps have LA-RICS as their first pilot. Executive Director Mallon stated there is a FirstNet Board meeting scheduled in San Diego the first week of June. Additionally, Sheriff Jim McDonnell had suggested that we reach out to FirstNet to have the General Manager TJ Kennedy come to Los Angeles to meet with the Chiefs of Police and Fire.

G. Status of Funding for TeleVate Contract – Post BTOP Grant

Executive Director Mallon stated in the February Board meeting an action item was included for an extension to the TeleVate contract through April of 2016. The Board asked that staff return at the May meeting to discuss how we will fund the operations. LA-RICS is working with State Homeland and Security Grant Program (SHSGP) to allocate 2014 grant funds to pay \$650,000, which is what we anticipate TeleVate’s fee would be from October 1, 2015 through the end of April 2016.

The structure of TeleVate contract requires that we provide Work Authorizations. We will not be providing work authorizations, if we do not have the funding.

H. Status of Membership Opt-Out and Impact on Funding Plan

Executive Director Mallon stated this item is to provide more information on what was previously stated. The 9.47% total accumulated impact plus 0.22% is based on the San Marino and Hermosa Beach “Opt-Out”.



Chair Hamai introduced Greg Grammer from Rolling Hills Estates, our newest member; welcome aboard Greg.

VII. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (I-N)

I. APPROVAL OF THE BROADBAND TECHNOLOGIES OPPORTUNITY PROJECT CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

It is recommended that your Board:

Delegate authority to the Executive Director to move forward with deployment of the Public Safety Broadband Network (PSBN) System in accordance with the CAP Plan, upon receipt of CAP Plan approval from the NTIA (Department of Commerce).

Agenda Item I: Enclosure

Executive Director Mallon stated we met the CAP deadline of April 13, 2015. In addition to the CAP that was submitted on April 13, 2015, we also submitted two addendums to add additional sites back into the system. We are working on requirements per NTIA and our deadline is May 31, 2015. LA-RICS must submit a three-year operating budget with revenue projections. We required Motorola to assign personnel with cellular deployment experience to assist in the LA-RICS project as well as submit environmental information and documentation. We are providing weekly updates on Outreach efforts. We will also be providing the budget and plan for activities by May 31, 2015. We will be working with NTIA and Motorola on selling some of the assets that we will not be using. We have been working with Motorola on a deployment schedule to start construction and to submit bills by September in a timely manner.

Executive Director Mallon also stated in CAP response include some maps (Enclosure 3) which show coverage of the 82 sites from a mobile and portable perspective. Within the urban area we cover about 2400 square miles (sq. miles) using mobile devices but we lost about 700 sq. miles along the Foothills and South Bay area. Portable coverage is much less.

Alternate Member Santome motioned first, seconded by Alternate Member Gialamas.

Ayes 13: Hamai, Chidester, Walker, Giannone, Huber, Everett, Frank, Farfan, Bundesen, Gialamas, Santome, Povero, and Grammer.

ITEM I WAS DISCUSSED OUT OF ORDER DUE TO CLOSED SESSION.



J. AMENDMENT NO. 5 FOR PROFESSIONAL BROADBAND ENGINEERING (TELEVATE) CONSULTING SERVICES

It is recommended that your Board:

1. Approve a decrease to the Maximum Contract Sum in the amount of \$329,997, decreasing the Maximum Contract Sum amount from \$6,027,000 to \$5,697,003 to align with the reduction of PSBN Sites in the Authority's CAP response.
2. Delegate authority to the Executive Director to execute Amendment No. 5 with Televate, substantially similar in form to Enclosure 1.

Agenda Item J: Enclosure

Alternate Member Santome motioned first, seconded by Chair Hamai.

Ayes 13: Hamai, Chidester, Walker, Giannone, Huber, Everett, Frank, Farfan, Bundesen, Gialamas, Santome, Povero, and Grammer.

K. AMENDMENT NO. 15 FOR PROJECT AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT (JACOBS) SERVICES

It is recommended that your Board:

1. Approve a reduction in the cost for PSBN portion of work by \$789,120 to decrease the level of effort apportioned to the PSBN project as proposed in the Authority's response to a Corrective Action Plan; however, from a portion of this reduced cost, increase the level of effort for outreach services by \$685,200 to communicate the projects purpose and to educate the public on the project; for a net decrease in the Maximum Contract Sum by \$103,920, from \$32,497,345 to \$32,393,425.
2. Delegate authority to the Executive Director to execute Amendment No. 15 with Jacobs, substantially similar in form to Enclosure 1.

Agenda Item K: Enclosure

Alternate Member Frank motioned first, seconded by Alternate Member Santome.

Ayes 13: Hamai, Chidester, Walker, Giannone, Huber, Everett, Frank, Farfan, Bundesen, Gialamas, Santome, Povero, and Grammer.



L. COMMUNITY RELATIONS CONSULTANT SERVICES

It is recommended that your Board:

1. Accept services from County of Los Angeles Chief Executive Office per a Delegated Authority Agreement with G. F. Bunting, on behalf of the Authority, for community relations professional consultant services, for a term of one year with a not-to-exceed amount of \$100,000, substantially similar in form to Enclosure 1;
2. Reimburse the County of Los Angeles for such services, which are an allowable expense under the Broadband Technology Opportunity Program (BTOP) grant.

Agenda Item L: Enclosure

Alternate Member Huber motioned first, seconded by Gialamas.

Ayes 13: Hamai, Chidester, Walker, Giannone, Huber, Everett, Frank, Farfan, Bundesen, Gialamas, Santome, Povero, and Grammer.

M. FIBER USE AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

It is recommended that your Board:

Delegate authority to the Executive Director to complete negotiations with the County and execute an Agreement, substantially similar in form to Enclosure A, with the County to allow the Authority to use the County's Fiber Ring, on a gratis basis, to design, test, implement, operate, and create the LA-RICS Fiber Ring, for the PSBN and LMR Systems.

Agenda Item M: Enclosure

Board Member Chidester motioned first, seconded by Alternate Member Bundesen.

Ayes 13: Hamai, Chidester, Walker, Giannone, Huber, Everett, Frank, Farfan, Bundesen, Gialamas, Santome, Povero, and Grammer.

N. APPROVE SITE ACCESS AGREEMENT WITH CITIES OF BEVERLY HILLS, GARDENA AND LONG BEACH

It is recommended that your Board:

1. Find that the approval and execution of the Site Access Agreements by the LA-RICS Authority does not result in any change to the Public Safety Broadband Network (PSBN) project, or to the circumstances under which the project is being undertaken, and that the determination that these activities are exempt from



review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080.25, the statutory exemption adopted specifically for the LA-RICS project, remains unchanged.

2. Authorize the Executive Director to finalize and execute, substantially similar in form to those attached, one or more Site Access Agreements with the Cities of Beverly Hills, Gardena (and South Bay Regional Public Communications Authority) and Long Beach. These Site Access Agreements are for the Long Term Evolution (LTE) broadband communication sites within the cities' respective jurisdictions or under their control.

Agenda Item N: Enclosures

Chair Hamai asked a question to Executive Director Mallon. Previously you stated there are outstanding site access agreements. How many are there and where are they? Executive Director Mallon stated City of Pasadena, Burbank, Compton, and Santa Monica.

Alternate Member Santome stated he has a no vote based on the Outreach issue. Very important for Bunting and Katz to understand just because we can does not mean we should. Alternate Member Santome has concerns for the public, although we are not violating any rules and following compliance it is important for the public to understand how and why we are doing this project. Alternate Member Frank agrees with Alternate Member Santome concerns about the Outreach. Executive Director Mallon states we are doing Outreach, for example on Tuesday; we met with the City of Arcadia and we are working with Katz to distribute door hangers to residents within 500 feet of the site. Chair Hamai stated Outreach will be taking place prior to construction. Executive Director Mallon stated yes. Pasadena, does not have residents because it's on a Civic Center, Burbank is at a Police Station similar situation. Compton is at a Fire Station and will do Outreach at this location. Santa Monica location is a water tank and are some residents near, which we will provide Outreach.

Ayes 11: Hamai, Chidester, Walker, Giannone, Huber, Everett, Farfan, Bundesen, Gialamas, Povero, and Grammer.

Noes 2: Santome and Frank

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS – (None)

IX. PUBLIC COMMENTS – (None)

X. ITEMS FOR FUTURE DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION BY THE BOARD



XI. CLOSED SESSION REPORT

CLOSED SESSION REPORT WAS HEARD BEFORE ITEM I

Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation (subdivision (d) (2) and (d) (4) of Government Code Section 54956.9 2 cases). The Board entered Closed Session at 9:45 AM, and reconvened to Open Session at 10:11 AM. The Brown Act does not require a report.

XII. ADJOURNMENT and NEXT MEETING:

Chair Hamai announced adjournment of this meeting at 10:30 a.m. The Board's consensus was unanimous. The next Board meeting will take place on Thursday, June 4, 2015, at 9:00 a.m., at the Grace E. Simons Lodge.